Summary of NMFS BiOp RPA Actions for Water Year 2014

Action Item	Objective	Action	Lead Agency	Due date	Status Thru Water Year 2014
NMFS BiOp					
11.2.1.1	Responsibilities and Procedures of Technical Teams	Technical teams for making recommendations for adjusting operations to meet contractual obligations for water delivery and minimize adverse effects on listed anadromous fish species (Sacramento River Temperature Task Group, Clear Creek Technical Working Group, American River Group, San Joaquin River Technical Committee, Delta Operations for Salmon and Sturgeon Group, Stanislaus Operations Group, Interagency Fish Passage Steering Committee)	Varies by team	Ongoing thru 2030	Teams meeting as required. Fish monitoring is being addressed through the 5-Agencies and Implementation Management Team groups.
11.2.1.2	Research and Adaptive Management	Annual science review workshop	USBR/ NMFS	11/30/13	Annual science review scheduled for November 6-7, 2014.
		Development and implementation of specific research projects, including: 1) Cooperative development of a salmonid lifecycle model acceptable to NMFS, USBR, DFW and DWR.	USBR/ NMFS	Ongoing thru 2030	The Southwest Fisheries Science Center (SWFSC) continues to make progress on developing a life-cycle model for Central Valley Chinook salmon that can be used as a tool to evaluate the effects of various factors (hydrology, water project operations, climate change, restoration) on the population dynamics of Central Valley Chinook salmon runs. Efforts to complete the winter-run version of the model are ongoing, but a Life Cycle Model Framework for Sacramento River Winter-run Chinook Salmon technical memorandum was issued in July 2014, and some initial modeling results were presented to NMFS and Reclamation in September 2014. Additionally in September, the SWFSC gave a technical presentation via webinar to interested resource agencies and stakeholders that focused on the planned modification of the model to include fall-run and spring-run Chinook salmon. The SWFSC's near-term plans are to request the Delta Science Panel (DSP) convene an independent review panel for the winter-run life cycle model framework in the spring of 2015.
		2) Temperature monitoring and modeling identified in RPA Action I.5	USBR		Contract for temperature modeling awarded in September 2013. Work to commence in late 2014.
		3) Green sturgeon research described in the Red Bluff Diversion Dam actions	USBR		See RPA Action I.3.4.
		4) Rearing habitat evaluation metrics to guide rearing habitat Action I.6	USBR/ DWR		Rearing habitat evaluation metrics are being developed as part of the EIS/EIR required to implement RPA actions I.1.6 and I.7.
		5) A 6-year acoustic-tagged study of juvenile salmonids out-migration in the San Joaquin River and through the southern Delta identified in Action IV.2.2.	USBR		See RPA Action IV.2.2
11.2.1.3	Monitoring and Reporting Requirements	1) Reclamation and DWR shall participate in the design, implementation, and funding of the comprehensive CV steelhead monitoring program on CVP- and SWP-controlled streams.	USBR/ DWR	Ongoing thru 2030	Ongoing. DWR/Reclamation have received a DFW proposal to initiate a 3-year CV steelhead pilot monitoring program for the Sacramento River that can be expanded system-wide based on funding availability. Due to funding constraints, this program is anticipated to begin in 2015.
		2) Reclamation and DWR shall ensure that all monitoring programs regarding the effects of CVP and SWP operations and which result in the direct take of winter-run, spring-run, CV steelhead, or Southern DPS of green sturgeon, are conducted by a person or entity that has been authorized by NMFS.	USBR/ DWR	10/1/2012	Coordination is ongoing; monitoring programs being developed and funded through agencies for listed species.
		3) Reclamation and DWR shall submit weekly reports to the interagency Data Assessment Team (DAT) regarding the results of monitoring and incidental take of winter-run, spring-run, CV steelhead, and Southern DPS of green sturgeon associated with operations of project facilities.	USBR/ DWR		Data Assessment Team (DAT) is receiving weekly reports on monitoring and incidental take of listed species. DAT reports data to DOSS each week, which is then published on the OCAP webpage with DOSS notes.
		4) Reclamation and DWR shall provide an annual written report to NMFS describing the results of real-time monitoring of winter-run, spring-run, CV steelhead, and Southern DPS of green sturgeon associated with operations of the DCC/CVP/SWP Delta pumping facilities, and other Division level operations authorized through this RPA.	USBR/ DWR	Ongoing thru 2030	Ongoing & being done.
		5) Reclamation and DWR shall continue the real-time monitoring between October 1 and June 30 each year of winter-run, spring-run, CV steelhead, and Southern DPS of green sturgeon in the lower Sacramento River, the lower San Joaquin River, and the Delta to establish presence and timing to serve as a basis for the management of Delta pumping operations consistent with actions in this RPA.	USBR/ DWR		Ongoing & being done.
		6) Reclamation and DWR shall submit weekly DAT reports and an annual written report to NMFS describing the results of real-time monitoring of winter-run, spring-run, CV steelhead, and Southern DPS of green sturgeon associated with operations of Delta pumping facilities and other Division level operations authorized through this RPA.	USBR/ DWR		Ongoing & being done.

Action Item	Objective	Action	Lead Agency	Due date	Status Thru Water Year 2014
		7) Reclamation shall coordinate with NMFS, FWS, and DFW to continue implementing and funding fisheries monitoring of spring-run and CV steelhead in Clear Creek to aide in determining the benefits and effects of flow and temperature management.	USBR/ DWR		Ongoing & being done.
		8) Reclamation and DWR shall jointly fund these monitoring locations for the duration of the Opinion (through 2030) to ensure compliance with the RPA and assess the performance of the RPA actions.	USBR/ DWR		
		a) Upstream: Adult escapement and juvenile monitoring for spring-run, winter-run, and steelhead on the Sacramento River, American River, Feather River, Clear Creek, Mill Creek, Deer Creek and Battle Creek.			Ongoing & being done.
		b) Red Bluff Diversion Dam – completed			Ongoing & being done.
		c) Sacramento River new juvenile monitoring station: The exact location to be determined, between RBDD and Knights Landing.			Two rotary screw traps were installed in 2010 and are operated side by side at Tisdale Weir by CDFW.
		d) Delta: Continuation of the following monitoring stations that are part of the IEP: Chipps Island Trawl, Sacramento Trawl, Knights Landings RST, and beach seining program. Additionally, assist in funding new studies to determine green sturgeon relative abundance and habitat use in the Delta.			Ongoing & being done.
		e) San Joaquin River monitoring shall include: Adult escapement and juvenile monitoring for steelhead on the Stanislaus River; Mossdale Kodiak Trawling to determine steelhead smolt passage; steelhead survival studies associated with VAMP; monitoring at HORB to determine steelhead movement in and around the barrier; predation studies in front of HORB and at the three agricultural barriers in the South Delta; and new studies to include the use of non-lethal fish guidance devices (e.g., sound, light, or air bubbles) instead of rock barriers to keep juveniles out of the area influenced by export pumping.			Ongoing & being done.
Sac River Division					
I.1.1 Spring Attraction Flows	Encourage spring-run movement to upstream Clear Creek habitat for spawning.	Reclamation shall annually conduct at least two pulse flows in Clear Creek in May and June of at least 600 cfs for at least three days for each pulse, to attract adult spring-run holding in the Sacramento River main stem. This may be done in conjunction with channel-maintenance flows (Action I.1.2).	USBR	Ongoing thru 2030	The CCTT planned pulse flows in 2013 and 2014 to occur when spring Chinook are beginning to enter other spring Chinook tributaries in early April.
I.1.2. Channel Maintenance Flows	Minimize project effects by enhancing and maintain previously degraded spawning habitat for spring-run and CV steelhead	Reclamation shall re-operate Whiskeytown Glory Hole spills during the winter and spring to produce channel maintenance flows of a minimum of 3,250 cfs mean daily spill from Whiskeytown for one day, to occur seven times in a ten-year period, unless flood control operations provide similar releases. Re-operation of Whiskeytown Dam should be implemented with other project facilities as described in the EWP Pilot Program (Reclamation 2008d)	USBR	May and June 2012	Reclamation and other agencies should continue discussions through the EWP Pilot Program regarding implementation of this action.
I.1.3. Spawning Gravel Augmentation	Enhance and maintain previously degraded spawning habitat for spring-run and CV steelhead.	Reclamation, in coordination with the Clear Creek Technical team, shall continue spawning gravel augmentation efforts. By **December 31** each year, Reclamation shall provide a report to NMFS on implementation and effectiveness of the gravel augmentation program.	USBR	Winter and spring	Ongoing spawning gravel actions that continued in Clear Creek were: design and permitting of the long-term gravel supply project, obtaining long-term permits for gravel additions and performing geomorphic monitoring and fish monitoring. Gravel projects were not conducted in 2013, due to lower overall CVPIA program funding availability, and higher priority program actions. For 2014, gravel injections are proposed at five sites, for a total tonnage of 7,700 tons.
I.1.4. Spring Creek Temperature Control Curtain	Reduce adverse impacts of project operations on water temperature for listed salmonids in the Sacramento River.	Reclamation shall replace the Spring Creek Temperature Control Curtain in Whiskeytown Lake by **June 2011**	USBR	6/1/2011	**Action Completed.** Contract awarded September 2010 and new curtain installed in June 2011. Since 2011, it has become known that the Oak Bottom Temperature Control Curtain (OBTCC) is damaged and cannot be fully deployed. The OBTCC will be replaced as funding becomes available.
I.1.5. Thermal Stress Reduction	To reduce thermal stress to over-summering steelhead and spring-run during holding, spawning, and embryo incubation.	Reclamation shall manage Whiskeytown releases to meet a daily water temperature of: 1) 60˚F at the Igo gage from **June 1 through September 15**; and 2) 56°F at the Igo gage from **September 15 to October 31**. Reclamation, in coordination with NMFS, will assess improvements to modeling water temperatures in Clear Creek and identify a schedule for making improvements.	USBR	Begin June 2012	Reclamation awarded a contract in 2013 to evaluate the temperature management process (also covers RPA action I.2.4 (3), which is estimated to be completed in 2015. Reclamation continues to coordinate with the CCTT on power peaking scenarios and will evaluate selective releases for potential temperature improvements.

Action Item	Objective	Action	Lead Agency	Due date	Status Thru Water Year 2014
I.1.6. Adaptively Manage to Habitat Suitability/IFIM Study Results	Decrease risk to Clear Creek spring-run and CV steelhead population through improved flow management designed to implement state-of-the-art scientific analysis on habitat suitability.	Reclamation shall operate Whiskeytown Reservoir as described in the Project Description with the modifications described in Action I.1 until **September 30, 2012**, or until 6 months after current Clear Creek salmonids habitat suitability (e.g., IFIM) studies are completed, whichever occurs later. When the salmonid habitat suitability studies are completed, Reclamation will, in conjunction with the CCTWG, assess whether Clear Creek flows shall be further adapted to reduce adverse impacts on spring-run and CV steelhead, and report their findings and proposed operational flows to NMFS within 6 months of completion of the studies.	USBR	12/30/2012	Coordination is ongoing with NMFS and CCTT on coordination of IFIM studies and reports on Clear Creek flow schedules for spring-run Chinook and steelhead.
1.2.1 Performance Measures.	To establish and operate to a set of performance measures for temperature compliance points and End-of-September (EOS) carryover storage, enabling Reclamation and NMFS to assess the effectiveness of this suite of actions over time. Performance measures will help to ensure that the beneficial variability of the system from changes in hydrology will be measured and maintained.	The following long-term performance measures shall be attained. Reclamation shall track performance and report to NMFS at least every 5 years. If there is significant deviation from these performance measures over a 10-year period, measured as a running average, which is not explained by hydrological cycle factors (e.g., extended drought), then Reclamation shall reinitiate consultation with NMFS. Performance measures for EOS carryover storage at Shasta Reservoir: • 87 percent of years: Minimum EOS storage of 2.2 MAF • 82 percent of years: Minimum EOS storage of 2.2 MAF and end-of-April storage of 3.8 MAF in following year (to maintain potential to meet Balls Ferry compliance point) • 40 percent of years: Minimum EOS storage 3.2 MAF (to maintain potential to meet Jelly's Ferry compliance point in following year). Measured as a 10-year running average, performance measures for temperature compliance points during summer season shall be: • Meet Clear Creek Compliance point 95 percent of time • Meet Balls Ferry Compliance point 85 percent of time • Meet Jelly's Ferry Compliance point 40 percent of time • Meet Bend Bridge Compliance point 15 percent of time	USBR		The end-of-April Shasta storage was 2.4 MAF. The TCP started out at Balls Ferry at the beginning of the temperature control season. After several discussions in the SRTTG meetings, the TCP was moved back up to Clear Creek targeting 56°F. On April 25, 2014, the TCP target at Clear Creek was changed to 56°F.
I.2.2. November through February Keswick Release Schedule (Fall Actions)	Minimize impacts to listed species and naturally spawning non-listed fall-run from high water temperatures by implementing standard procedures for release of cold water from Shasta Reservoir.	Depending on EOS carryover storage and hydrology, Reclamation shall develop and implement a Keswick release schedule, and reduce deliveries and exports as detailed below.	USBR		Reclamation provides a monthly temperature forecasts and storage update to NMFS.
I.2.2.A EOS Storage ≥ 2.4 MAF		If the EOS storage is at 2.4 MAF or above, by **October 15**, Reclamation shall convene a group including NMFS, USFWS, and CDFG, through B2IT or other comparable process, to consider a range of fall actions. A written monthly average Keswick release schedule shall be developed and submitted to NMFS by **November 1** of each year, based on the criteria below. The monthly release schedule shall be tracked through the work group.	USBR	11/30/2014	Not applicable.
I.2.2.B EOS Storage ≥1.9 MAF and ≤2.4 MAF		If EOS storage is between 1.9 and 2.4 MAF, then Reclamation shall convene a group including NMFS, USFWS, and CDFG, through B2IT or other comparable workgroup, to consider a range of fall actions. Reclamation shall provide NMFS and the work group with storage projections based on 50 percent, 70 percent, and 90 percent hydrology through February, and develop a monthly average Keswick release schedule based on the criteria below. The monthly release schedule shall be submitted to NMFS by **November 1**.	USBR	11/30/2014	Not applicable.
I.2.2.C. EOS Storage ≤ 1.9 MAF		If the EOS storage is at or below 1.9 MAF, then Reclamation shall: 1) **In early October**, reduce Keswick releases to 3,250 cfs as soon as possible, unless higher releases are necessary to meet temperature compliance points (see action I.2.3). 2) Starting **in early October,** if cool weather prevails and temperature control does not mandate higher flows, curtail discretionary water deliveries (including, but not limited to agricultural rice decomposition deliveries) to the extent that these do not coincide with temperature management for the species.	USBR	10/1/2014	The EOS storage was 1.9 MAF. Reclamation was seeking to conserve storage, however releases out of Keswick were kept up at 6,250 cfs through November 15, 2014 to prevent dewatering of redds. Keswick releases were down to 3,750 cfs until January 4, 2014 and were then brought down to 3,250 cfs on January 5, 2014.
I.2.3. February Forecast; March – May 14 Keswick Release Schedule (Spring Actions)	To conserve water in Shasta Reservoir in the spring in order to provide sufficient water to reduce adverse effects of high water temperature in the summer months for winter-run, without sacrificing	1) Reclamation shall make its **February 15** forecast of deliverable water based on an estimate of precipitation and runoff within the Sacramento River basin at least as conservative as the 90 percent probability of exceedance. Subsequent updates of water delivery commitments must be based on monthly forecasts at least as conservative as the 90 percent probability of exceedance. 2) Reclamation shall make releases to maintain a temperature compliance point not in excess of 56 degrees between Balls Ferry and Bend Bridge from **April 15 through May 15**.	USBR	2/15/2014 prediction & April through May 15 releases for temp	

Action Item	Objective	Action	Lead Agency	Due date	Status Thru Water Year 2014
	carryover storage in fall.			compliance	
I.2.3.A Implementation Procedures if February Forecast, Based on 90 Percent Hydrology, Shows that Balls Ferry Temperature Compliance Point and 2.2 MAF EOS are Both Achievable		NMFS will review the draft February forecast to determine whether both a temperature compliance point at Balls Ferry during the temperature control season (**May – October**), and EOS storage of at least 2.2 MAF, is likely to be achieved. If both are likely, then Reclamation shall announce allocations and operate Keswick releases in **March, April, and May** consistent with its standard plan of operation. Preparation of a separate Keswick release schedule is not necessary in these circumstances.	NMFS	March, April, May for releases. May-October for temp control.	Not applicable.
I.2.3.B Implementation Procedures if February Forecast, Based on 90 Percent Hydrology, Shows that Only Balls Ferry Compliance or 2.2 MAF EOS, but Not Both, Is Achievable		1) On or before **February 15**, Reclamation shall reduce Keswick releases to 3,250 cfs, unless NMFS concurs on an alternative release schedule. This reduction shall be maintained until a flow schedule is developed per procedures below. 2) In coordination with NMFS, by **March 1**, Reclamation shall develop an initial monthly Keswick release schedule, based on varying hydrology of 50 percent, 70 percent, and 90 percent (similar in format to the fall and winter action implementation procedures – see table above). These schedules shall be used as guidance for monthly updates and consultations. 3) Based on this guidance, Reclamation shall consult with NMFS monthly on Keswick releases. Reclamation shall submit a projected forecast, including monthly average release schedules and temperature compliance point to NMFS every month, within 7 business days of receiving the DWR runoff projections for that month. Within 3 business days of receiving this information from Reclamation, NMFS will review the draft schedule for consistency with the criteria below and provide written recommendations to Reclamation. 4) The initial monthly Keswick release schedule, and subsequent monthly updates, shall be developed.	USBR		Reclamation maintained a Balls Ferry TCP from March 1, 2014 to March 27, 2014. Keswick releases were being ramped down to conserve storage. Starting on November 1, 2013, Keswick releases were at 6,250 cfs and by the end of the month releases were at 3,750 cfs. The Fishery agencies continued to stress the need to keep flows up for the fall-run Redds to keep them from being dewatered. By January 5, 2014, releases out of Keswick were 3, 250 cfs. Starting on March 28, 2014, the TCP was moved down from Balls Ferry to Clear Creek targeting 58°F to conserve the cold water pool in Shasta Reservoir. Starting April 25, 2014 the TCP at Clear Creek was recommended to target 56°F.
I. 2.3. C. Drought Exception Procedures if February Forecast, Based on 90 Percent Hydrology, Shows that Clear Creek Temperature Compliance Point or 1.9 MAF EOS Storage is Not Achievable		Reclamation shall follow all procedures immediately above (Action I.2.3.B) and, in addition, shall: 1) By **March 1**, provide a contingency plan with a written justification that all actions within Reclamation's authorities and discretion are being taken to preserve cold water at Shasta Reservoir for the protection of winter-run. 2) The contingency plan shall also, at a minimum, include the following assessments and actions: a. Relaxation of Wilkins Slough navigation criteria to at most 4,000 cfs. b. An assessment of any additional technological or operational measures that may be feasible and may increase the ability to manage the cold water pool. c. Notification to State Water Resources Control Board that meeting the biological needs of winter-run and the needs of resident species in the Delta, delivery of water to nondiscretionary Sacramento Settlement Contractors, and Delta outflow requirements per D-1641, may be in conflict in the coming season and requesting the Board's assistance in determining appropriate contingency measures, and exercising their authorities to put these measures in place. 3) If, during the temperature control season, a Clear Creek TCP on the Sacramento River cannot be achieved, then Reclamation shall bypass power at Shasta Dam if NMFS determines a bypass is necessary for preserving the cold water pool. This power by-pass may be necessary to maintain temperature controls for winter-run, or later in the temperature season, for spring-run.	USBR	3/1/2012	

Action Item	Objective	Action	Lead Agency	Due date	Status Thru Water Year 2014
1.2.4 May 15 Through October Keswick Release Schedule (Summer Action)	To manage the cold water storage within Shasta Reservoir and make cold water releases from Shasta Reservoir to provide suitable habitat temperatures for winter-run, spring-run, CV steelhead, and Southern DPS of green sturgeon in the Sacramento River between Keswick Dam and Bend Bridge, while retaining sufficient carryover storage to manage for next year's cohorts. To the extent feasible, manage for suitable temperatures for naturally spawning fall-run.	Reclamation shall develop and implement an annual Temperature Management Plan by May 15 to manage the cold water supply within Shasta Reservoir and make cold water releases from Shasta Reservoir and Spring Creek to provide suitable temperatures for listed species, and, when feasible, fall-run. Reclamation shall manage operations to achieve daily average water temperatures in the Sacramento River between Keswick Dam and Bend Bridge as follows: 1) Not in excess of 56°F at compliance locations between Balls Ferry and Bend Bridge from **May 15 through September 30** for protection of winter-run, and not in excess of 56°F at the same compliance locations between Balls Ferry and Bend Bridge from **October 1 through October 31** for protection of mainstem spring run, whenever possible. 2) Reclamation shall operate to a final Temperature Management Plan starting **May 15 and ending October 31**. 3) As part of the adaptive management process, and in coordination with NMFS, by **March 2010**, Reclamation shall fund an independent modeler to review these procedures and the recommendations of the Calfed Science Panel report on temperature management and recommend specific refinements to these procedures to achieve optimal temperature management, with due consideration of the Calfed Science panel's recommendations (Deas et al., 2009) regarding temperature management. Upon written concurrence of NMFS, refinements to the implementation procedures for this action suite, based on the independent contractor's report, may be adopted and implemented.	USBR	May-September, October 1 through October 31 temp controls. May 15 to October 31 operate final Temp management plan.	The SRTTG recommended a TCP at Clear Creek targeting 56°F starting April 25, 2014. With minimal storage in Shasta Reservoir this year, the TCD was not fully utilized. The upper shutters were never lowered due to the low storage at Shasta Reservoir. This is the first year the upper shutters could not be lowered. Reclamation provided modeling runs at all the SRTTG meetings, expressing concern that meeting 56° F at Clear Creek was going to be challenging to meet this year because Shasta Reservoir had never been this low in storage "pre-TCD", and Reclamation expressed uncertainty with the outcomes from the temperature model due to the low storage levels. Reclamation recognized that a power bypass would be necessary to help meet the TCP at Clear Creek. Reclamation maintained 56°F at the TCP from April 25, 2014 through August 2014. Starting September 2014, the TCP target at Clear Creek of 56°F was not achievable. Reclamation operated to optimize temperatures by releasing the coldest water possible out of Shasta Reservoir, stretching out the cold water pool through October 15, 2014.
I.2.5. Winter-Run Passage and Re-Introduction Program at Shasta Dam	See Fish Passage Program, Action V				Shasta habitat assessment completed. Outreach, pilot planning, and permitting are underway. Scheduled to begin the pilot study by releasing winter-run Chinook in a tributary upstream of Shasta in 2015. Due to the needed interagency resource coordination needs, complexity of the project, and similar technological aspects of the evaluations between watersheds the agencies are now focusing the initial evaluations on Shasta. Lessons learned at Shasta will be applied to evaluating passage at Folsom.
Action I.2.6. Restore Battle Creek for Winter-Run, Spring-Run, and CV Steelhead	To partially compensate for unavoidable adverse effects of project operations by restoring winter-run and spring-run to the Battle Creek watershed. A second population of winter-run would reduce the risk of extinction of the species from lost resiliency and increased vulnerability to catastrophic events.	Reclamation shall direct discretionary funds to implement the Battle Creek Salmon and Steelhead Restoration Project. Phase 1A funding is currently allocated through various partners and scheduled to commence in **Summer 2009** (Reclamation 2008c). DWR shall direct discretionary funds for Phase 1B and Phase 2, consistent with the proposed amended Delta Fish Agreement by **December 31** of each year, Reclamation and DWR will submit a written report to NMFS on the status of the project, including phases completed, funds expended, effectiveness of project actions, additional actions planned (including a schedule for further actions), and additional funds needed. The Battle Creek Salmon and Steelhead Restoration Project shall be completed no later than **2019**.	USBR	Annual reporting by December 31	**Action Completed.** Additional monitoring will be conducted to ensure performance objectives are achieved. Annual progress report currently being prepared
I.3.1. Operations after May 14, 2012: Operate RBDD with Gates Out		No later than **May 15, 2012**, Reclamation shall operate RBDD with gates out all year to allow unimpeded passage for listed anadromous fish. If the Red Bluff Alternative Intake Structure is not anticipated to be operational by **May 15, 2012**, Reclamation may submit a request to NMFS, no later than **January 31, 2012**, to close the gates from **June 15 to September 1, 2012**. This request must document that all milestones for construction of the alternative pumping plant have been met and that all other conservation measures (see below) have been implemented.	USBR	5/15/2012, 1/31/2012	**Action Completed.**
I.3.2. Interim Operations		Until **May 14, 2012**, Reclamation shall operate RBDD according to the following schedule: • **September 1 - June 14**: Gates open. No emergency closures of gates are allowed. • **June 15 - August 31**: Gates may be closed at Reclamation's discretion, if necessary to deliver water to TCCA.	USBR		**Action Completed.**
I.3.3. Interim Operation for Green Sturgeon	Allow passage of green sturgeon during interim operations.	When gates are in, Reclamation shall retain a minimum 18-inch opening under the gates that are open, to allow safe downstream passage of adult green sturgeon. The 18-inch opening may be modified to 12 inches by the RBDD technical team if necessary to maintain the structural integrity of the dam and/or adequate attraction flows for salmonids at the fish ladders, or in consideration of other real-time fish migratory issues.	USBR	6/15/2009	**Action Completed.**

Action Item	Objective	Action	Lead Agency	Due date	Status Thru Water Year 2014
I.3.4: Measures to Compensate for Adverse Effects of Interim Operations on Green Sturgeon	Offset short-term effects to green sturgeon due to interim gate operations by investing in geographically specific research needed to determine green sturgeon life history and recovery needs.	Reclamation shall continue ongoing funded research to characterize green sturgeon populations in the upper Sacramento River Basin, their movements, and habitat usage, as planned through **fiscal year 2009**. In addition, Reclamation (or TCCA) shall convene a technical team, including representatives from NMFS, CDFG, USFWS, Corps, the University of California at Davis (UCD), and other cooperators, to review studies and results and coordinate research needs for green sturgeon. Reclamation and/or TCCA shall provide the necessary funding to insure that research will continue to be conducted in a coordinated and cooperative manner with the express intent of fully implementing the research projects described in the UCD proposal in Appendix 2-B to this Opinion.	USBR		Green Sturgeon Study field work has been completed by UC Davis. Final report will be completed by December 2014.
I.3.5. Measures to Compensate for Adverse Effects of Interim Operations on Spring-Run	Offset unavoidable short-term effects to spring-run from passage impediments of RBDD by restoring spring-run passage elsewhere in the Sacramento River system.	Reclamation shall provide $500,000 for implementation of spring- run passage improvement projects in the Sacramento River. Appendix 2-B describes specific projects that may be implemented. By **December 15, 2009**, Reclamation shall provide NMFS with a prioritized list of projects from Appendix 2-B and an implementation schedule. Reclamation shall provide an annual report to NMFS on implementation and effectiveness of projects. Reclamation shall monitor and maintain these projects for five years.	USBR/ DFW	12/15/2009	Reclamation provided $500,000 to help fund, in concert with DFW (Patricia Bratcher) and FWS (Brenda Olson), the Antelope Creek Wildlife Area Crossing.
I.4. Wilkins Slough Operations	Enhance the ability to manage temperatures for anadromous fish below Shasta Dam by operating Wilkins Slough in the manner that best conserves the dam's cold water pool for summer releases.	Reclamation shall convene the SRTTG to review past operational data, hydrology, and fisheries needs for Wilkins Slough. The SRTTG shall recommend Wilkins Slough minimum flows for anadromous fish in critically dry years, in lieu of the current 5,000 cfs navigation criterion. Recommendations shall be made to NMFS by **December 1, 2009**. The recommendations will be implemented upon NMFS' concurrence. In years other than critically dry years, the need for a variance from the 5,000 cfs navigation criterion will be considered during the process of developing the Keswick release schedules (Action I.2.2-4).	USBR	12/1/2009	Issues regarding water rights navigation criterion which is under the control of the SWRCB.
I.5. Funding for CVPIA Anadromous Fish Screen Program (AFSP)	To reduce entrainment of juvenile anadromous fish from unscreened diversions.	Reclamation shall screen priority diversions as identified in the CVPIA AFSP, consistent with previous funding levels for this program. In addition, Reclamation/CVPIA Program shall evaluate the potential to develop alternative screened intakes that allow diverters to withdraw water below surface levels required by the antiquated Wilkins Slough navigation requirement criterion of 5,000 cfs.	USBR	Annually in September	Funding for 2014 is estimated at $8 million, which is higher than in previous years. Accomplishments in 2013 include: – Construction completed on the 389 cfs Natomas Mutual Sankey Fish Screen on the Sacramento River that replaced two existing diversions on the Natomas Cross Canal. This project also resulted in the removal of an anadromous fish migration barrier (seasonal diversion dam) on the Natomas Cross Canal. – The AFSP also completed construction of four fish screens on the Sacramento River at River Garden Farms #3 -Townsite (62 cfs), Alamo Farms #1 (36 cfs), Tisdale Irrigation District #2 (44 cfs), Cranmore Farms #2 (40 cfs), and one fish screen in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta at Joe Sanchez Farms (24 cfs), involving installation of state-of-the-art retractable cylindrical fish screens.
I.6.1. Restoration of Floodplain Rearing Habitat	To restore floodplain rearing habitat for juvenile winter-run, spring-run, and CV steelhead in the lower Sacramento River basin. This objective may be achieved at the Yolo Bypass, and/or through actions in other suitable areas of the lower Sacramento River.	In cooperation with CDFG, USFWS, NMFS, and the Corps, Reclamation and DWR shall, to the maximum extent of their authorities (excluding condemnation authority), provide significantly increased acreage of seasonal floodplain rearing habitat, with biologically appropriate durations and magnitudes, from **December through April**, in the lower Sacramento River basin, on a return rate of approximately one to three years, depending on water year type. In the event that this action conflicts with Shasta Operations Actions I.2.1 to I.2.3, the Shasta Operations Actions shall prevail.	USBR/ DWR	12/31/2011, 12/31/2013, 12/31/2016	Milestone dates in process of being updated per NMFS' request to complete a project-level EIS/EIR analysis for the project, in concert with actions I.6.4 and I.7. Information on this project can be found at: http://www.usbr.gov/mp/BayDeltaOffice/docs/Yolo_Bypass_Salmonid_Habitat_Restoration_and_Fish_Passage_Implementation_Plan.pdf electronic page 47).
I.6.2. Near-Term Actions at Liberty Island/Lower Cache Slough and Lower Yolo Bypass		By **September 30, 2010**, Reclamation and/or DWR shall take all necessary steps to ensure that an enhancement plan is completed and implemented for Liberty Island/Lower Cache Slough, as described in Appendix 2-C. This action shall be monitored for the subsequent five years, at a minimum, to evaluate the use of the area by juvenile salmonids and to measure changes in growth rates. Interim monitoring reports shall be submitted to NMFS annually, by September 30 each year, and a final monitoring report shall be submitted on **September 30, 2015**, or in the fifth year following implementation of enhancement actions. NMFS will determine at that time whether modification of the action or additional monitoring is necessary to achieve or confirm the desired results. This action shall be designed to avoid stranding or migration barriers for juvenile salmon.	DWR	9/30/2010, then annually to 9/30/2015	The Fish Restoration Program Implementation Strategy was transmitted to NMFS on October 10, 2012, in fulfillment of the requirement to complete an "enhancement plan" for Liberty Island/lower Cache Slough. DWR is in the process of developing a shallow water monitoring program focused on restoration areas, in coordination with CDFW. DWR is funding this monitoring program, to be implemented by CDFW.

Action Item	Objective	Action	Lead Agency	Due date	Status Thru Water Year 2014
I.6.3. Lower Putah Creek Enhancements		By **December 31, 2015**, Reclamation and/or DWR shall develop and implement Lower Putah Creek enhancements as described in Appendix 2-C, including stream realignment and floodplain restoration for fish passage improvement and multi-species habitat development on existing public lands. By **September 1** of each year, Reclamation and/or DWR shall submit to NMFS a progress report towards the successful implementation of this action. This action shall not result in stranding or migration barriers for juvenile salmon.	DWR	9/1/09, then annually to 12/31/2015	This action is currently being pursued by Yolo Basin Foundation under an ERP grant with heavy involvement from DFW. DWR and Reclamation's role to this point has been to make sure the design includes elements that are described in Appendix 2-C. The current ERP grant is sufficient to cover design and permitting of the project. Once the design and permits are complete, the project would likely be implemented under DWR's FRPA program.
I.6.4. Improvements to Lisbon Weir		By **December 31, 2015**, Reclamation and/or DWR shall, to the maximum extent of their authorities, assure that improvements to the Lisbon Weir are made that are likely to achieve the fish and wildlife benefits described in Appendix 2-C. Improvements will include modification or replacement of Lisbon Weir, if necessary to achieve the desired benefits for fish. If neither Reclamation nor DWR has authority to make structural or operational modifications to the weir, they shall work with the owners and operators of the weir to make the desired improvements, including providing funding and technical assistance. By **September 1** of each year, Reclamation and/or DWR shall submit to NMFS a report on progress toward the successful implementation of this action. Reclamation and DWR must assure that this action does not result in migration barriers or stranding of juvenile salmon.	DWR	9/1/09, then annually to 12/31/2015	The EIR/EIS for Yolo Bypass Salmonid Habitat and Fish Passage Project will incorporate improvements for Lisbon Weir. Construction would likely not take place until a Final EIR/EIS is prepared, and all necessary permits are in place.
I.7. Reduce Migratory Delays and Loss of Salmon, Steelhead, and Sturgeon at Fremont Weir and Other Structures in the Yolo Bypass	Reduce migratory delays and loss of adult and juvenile winter-run, spring-run, CV steelhead and Southern DPS of green sturgeon at Fremont Weir and other structures in the Yolo Bypass.	By **December 31, 2011**, as part of the plan described in Action I.6.1, Reclamation and/or DWR shall submit a plan to NMFS to provide for high quality, reliable migratory passage for Sacramento Basin adult and juvenile anadromous fishes through the Yolo Bypass. By **June 30, 2011**, Reclamation and/or DWR shall obtain NMFS concurrence and, to the maximum extent of their authorities, and in cooperation with other agencies and funding sources, begin implementation of the plan, including any physical modifications. By **September 30, 2009**, Reclamation shall request in writing that the Corps take necessary steps to alter Fremont Weir and/or any other facilities or operations requirements of the Sacramento River Flood Control Project or Yolo Bypass facility in order to provide fish passage and shall offer to enter into a Memorandum of Understanding, interagency agreement, or other similar mechanism, to provide technical assistance and funding for the necessary work. By J**une 30, 2010**, Reclamation shall provide a written report to NMFS on the status of its efforts to complete this action, in cooperation with the Corps, including milestones and timelines to complete passage improvements. Reclamation and/or DWR shall assess the performance of improved passage and flows through the bypass, to include an adult component for salmonids and sturgeon (i.e., at a minimum, acoustic receivers placed at the head and tail of the bypass to detect use by adults).	DWR	9/30/2009, 6/30/2010, 6/30/2011, 12/31/2011	See Action I.6.1.
American River Division					
II.1. Lower American River Flow Management	To provide minimum flows for all steelhead life stages.	Implement the flow schedule specified in the Water Forum's Flow Management Standard (FMS), which is summarized in Appendix 2-D of this Opinion. The FMS flow schedule has been developed by the Water Forum, Reclamation, USFWS, NMFS, and CDFG in order to establish required minimum flows for anadromous salmonids in the lower American River. The flow schedule specifies minimum flows and does not preclude Reclamation from making higher releases at Nimbus Dam. Reclamation shall ensure that flow, water temperature, steelhead spawning, and steelhead rearing monitoring is conducted annually in order to help inform the ARG process and to evaluate take associated with flow fluctuations and warm water temperatures. Steelhead monitoring surveys should follow the objectives and protocols specified in the FMS Monitoring and Evaluation Program relating to steelhead spawning and rearing.	USBR		Reports received during monthly ARG meetings and bi-weekly updates sent to NMFS. Reclamation, with the assistance from FWS, CDFW and contracted staff, conducted bi-weekly steelhead red surveys from Nimbus Dam to Watt Ave. The surveys began January 15, 2014 and were extended through April 15, 2014.
II.2. Lower American River Temperature Management	Maintain suitable temperatures to support over-summer rearing of juvenile steelhead in the lower American River.	Each year, Reclamation shall prepare a draft Operations Forecast and Temperature Management Plan based on forecasted conditions and submit the draft Plan to NMFS for review by **May 1** of each year. The information provided in the Operations Forecast will be used in the development of the Temperature Plan. The draft plan shall contain: 1) Forecasts of hydrology and storage; 2) A modeling run or runs, using these forecasts, demonstrating that the temperature compliance point can be attained (see Coldwater Management Pool Model approach in Appendix 2-D); 3) A plan of operation based on this modeling run that demonstrates that all other non-discretionary requirements are met; and 4) Allocations for discretionary deliveries that conform to the plan of operation.	USBR	May each year	Reclamation provided to NMFS a Temperature Management Plan for concurrence on May 8, 2014 and recommended a mean daily temperature target of 70°F at Watt Avenue bridge through September 2014. To date, no adjustments to the Temperature Management Plan target temperature were necessary. Temperature management in water year 2014 was further hampered by low storage conditions.

Action Item	Objective	Action	Lead Agency	Due date	Status Thru Water Year 2014
II.3. Structural Improvements	Improve the ability to manage the cold water pool to provide suitable temperatures for listed fish through physical and structural improvements at the dams.	Reclamation shall evaluate physical and structural modifications that may improve temperature management capability, as detailed below. Upon completion of the evaluation, Reclamation shall select the most promising projects and shall submit, by **June 30th 2010**, a proposed plan to NMFS to implement selected projects. Reclamation shall seek NMFS' concurrence that the proposed projects are likely to be effective in reducing adverse effects of warm water temperatures on listed fish. With NMFS' concurrence, Reclamation shall implement selected projects by **December 15, 2012**. Modifying the following structures may substantially improve the ability to manage temperature in the Lower American River to reduce adverse effects of unsuitably warm water on listed species. The comparative benefits and costs of alternative modifications that will achieve objectives have not been fully analyzed. The objective of this action is to provide effective tools to make transparent temperature management decisions. Alternatives include decision impact analyses, regular analysis of a broad array of operational scenarios, improved operations group processes, and monitoring.	USBR	12/15/2012 for chosen project implementation	A value planning study on the evaluation of alternatives was completed in July 2014 and is being coordinated with NMFS. Reclamation moving forward with Folsom Dam TCD analysis (a Corps lead action agency project) and temperature management decision support tools. Progress is being made on cold water management at Folsom, but depends on Corps studies underway to identify cold water pool benefits. Action cannot be completed until the Corps studies are done, which are estimated to take a few years. Reclamation will consult with NMFS on whether the "intent" of the RPA is being met even though no action is being taken and no alternative has been selected.
II.4. Minimize Flow Fluctuation Effects	Reduce stranding and isolation of juvenile steelhead through ramping protocols.	The following flow fluctuation objectives shall be followed: 1) From **January 1 through May 30**, at flow levels <5,000 cfs, flow reductions shall not exceed more than 500 cfs/day and not more than 100 cfs per hour. 2) From **January 1 through May 30**, Reclamation shall coordinate with NMFS, CDFG, and USFWS to fund and implement monitoring in order to estimate the incidental take of salmonids associated with reductions in Nimbus Dam releases. 3) Minimize the occurrence of flows exceeding 4,000 cfs throughout the year, except as may be necessary for flood control or in response to natural high precipitation events.	USBR	Jan through May monitoring	Ramping protocols were met from January 1 through May 30 with two exceptions. Deviations from the ramping protocols as specified un the RPA action was necessary in order to install the fish hatchery weir racks and pickets. This action was coordinated with NMFS, FWS, and CDFW. The second deviation occurred following a Lake Natoma flood control action on February 10, 2014.
II.5. Fish Passage at Nimbus and Folsom Dams	Provide access for steelhead to historic cold water habitat above Nimbus and Folsom dams.	See Fish Passage Program, Action V.	USBR		This action will be initiated once fish passage evaluations at Shasta Dam are complete (Action I.2.5).
II.6.1. Preparation of Hatchery Genetic Management Plan (HGMP) for Steelhead		Reclamation shall fund CDFG to prepare a complete draft HGMP for steelhead production at Nimbus Fish Hatchery, in accordance with current NMFS guidelines, and submit that draft for NMFS review by **June 2011**. Specific actions shall include: 1) Reclamation shall fund genetic screening at Nimbus Fish Hatchery for steelhead to determine most appropriate brood stock source. This action shall be completed by **March 31, 2012**. 2) Reclamation shall fund a study examining the potential to replace the Nimbus Fish Hatchery steelhead broodstock, with genetically more appropriate sources. This action shall be completed by **March 31, 2012**	USBR	6/1/2011, 3/31/2012	The draft HGMP was submitted to NMFS by the due date. Genetic screening of steelhead at Nimbus hatchery and all other Central Valley hatcheries was completed annually in 2011-2013. The Nimbus steelhead broodstock replacement study is ongoing. Nearly 600 rainbow trout genetic samples were collected from American River tributaries upstream of Folsom Reservoir at 15 sites below and above historic barriers to anadromy to help determine which fish could qualify genetically as appropriate stock for replacement of the broodstock. Next, the study will collect eggs from the appropriate study fish (upstream of Folsom and potentially from other Central Valley steelhead broodstocks such as Feather River). The test stocks will be raised to determine their ability to survive and grow in the Nimbus Hatchery environment and their tendency towards anadromy. Following the tests in the hatchery environment the fish will be released into the American River to look at survival and anadromy in the wild. The final study plans are being worked out in the Nimbus Hatchery coordination team with a goal to collect eggs from test stocks early in 2015.
II.6.2. Interim Actions Prior to Submittal of Draft HGMP for Steelhead		Reclamation shall use its authorities to ensure that, prior to completion of the draft HGMP, the hatchery is operated according to the following protocols: 1) Release all hatchery-produced steelhead juveniles in the American River at Nimbus Fish Hatchery or at a location in the American River as close to Nimbus Fish Hatchery as is feasible to reduce straying. This action shall be implemented within 30 days of issuance of this Opinion. 2) Release all unclipped steelhead adults returning to Nimbus Fish Hatchery back into the lower American River so they can spawn naturally. This action shall be implemented within 30 days of issuance of this Opinion. 3) Stop inter-basin transfers of steelhead eggs or juveniles to other hatcheries, except upon specific written concurrence of NMFS. This action shall be implemented within 30 days of issuance of this Opinion.	USBR		Ongoing & being done.
II.6.3: Develop and Implement Fall-run Chinook Salmon Hatchery Management Plans for Nimbus and Trinity River Fish Hatcheries		By **June 2014**, develop and begin implementation of Hatchery Management Plans for fall-run production at Nimbus Fish Hatchery and spring-run and fall-run at Trinity River Fish Hatchery. Reclamation shall fund CDFG to develop and submit draft plans for NMFS review by **June 2013**. The goal of the plans shall be to reduce impacts of hatchery Chinook salmon on natural fall-run and spring-run, and increase the genetic diversity and diversity of run-timing for these stocks.	USBR	6/1/2013, 6/1/2014	Draft plan received by NMFS in July 2013. Reclamation is waiting for NMFS review and feedback.

Action Item	Objective	Action	Lead Agency	Due date	Status Thru Water Year 2014
Eastside Division					
III.1.1. Establish Stanislaus Operations Group for Real-Time Operational Decision-Making as Described in These Actions and Implementation Procedures		Reclamation shall create a SOG to provide a forum for real-time operational flexibility implementation of the alternative actions defined in this RPA and for clarification of decision-making processes regarding other allocations of the NMTP. This group shall include Reclamation, NMFS, USFWS, DWR, CDFG, SWRCB, and outside expertise at the discretion of NMFS and Reclamation. This group shall provide direction and oversight to ensure that the East Side Division actions are implemented, monitored for effectiveness and evaluated. Reclamation, in coordination with SOG, shall submit an annual summary of the status of these actions. See introduction to RPA for further information on group procedures.	USBR		**Action completed.**
III.1.2. Provide Cold Water Releases to Maintain Suitable Steelhead Temperatures		Reclamation shall manage the cold water supply within New Melones Reservoir and make cold water releases from New Melones Reservoir to provide suitable temperatures for CV steelhead rearing, spawning, egg incubation smoltification, and adult migration in the Stanislaus River downstream of Goodwin Dam in order to maintain the temperature compliance schedule. Temperature compliance shall be measured based on a seven-day average daily maximum temperature. **Exception:** If any of these criteria is or is expected to be exceeded based on a three-day average daily maximum temperature, Reclamation shall immediately notify NMFS of this condition and shall submit to NMFS a written determination that, after taking all actions within its authorities, it is unlikely to meet the above temperature requirement and the extent and duration of the expected exceedance. This determination must be supported by specific iterative modeling techniques that vary allocations and delivery schedules.	USBR	Begin projects after 4/16/12	There were temperature exceptions in water year 2014 and theses were noted and discussed within the SOG. In the fall, river temperatures exceeded the OBB criterion in late October and early November in spite of elevated slows for a fishery pulse. Even with typical weather conditions and high releases up to 2,500 cfs, the Knights Ferry temperature criterion was exceeded from late February through May; the OBB temperature criterion was also exceeded from early March through May. The Orange Blossom temperature criterion was also exceeded beginning in June.
III.1.3. Operate the East Side Division Dams to Meet the Minimum Flows, as Measured at Goodwin Dam, Characterized in Figure 11-1, and as Specified in Appendix 2-E	To maintain minimum base flows to optimize CV steelhead habitat for all life history stages and to incorporate habitat maintaining geomorphic flows in a flow pattern that will provide migratory cues to smolts and facilitate out-migrant smolt movement on declining limb of pulse.	Reclamation shall operate releases from the East Side Division reservoirs to achieve a minimum flow schedule as prescribed in Appendix 2-E and generally described in figure 11-1 above. This flow schedule specifies minimum flows and does not preclude Reclamation from making higher releases for other operational criteria. When operating at higher flows than specified, Reclamation shall implement ramping rates for flow changes that will avoid stranding and other adverse effects on CV steelhead. In particular, flows that exceed 800 cfs will inundate known side channels that provide habitat, but that also pose stranding risks. When spring pulses greater than 800 cfs are identified in figure 11-1, the declining limb is not reduced below 800 cfs until the late spring flows occur.	USBR		The October pulse was implemented according to the September SOG advice, in which SOG recommended that the fall attraction flow be reshaped. During April and May, releases were governed by Appendix 2-E and the DOP. In July, operations were governed by Ripon Dissolved Oxygen standard. This continued to be the controlling standard until August 3, 2014, when Appendix 2-E releases once again became the controlling requirement.
Action III.2.1. Increase and Improve Quality of Spawning Habitat with Addition of 50,000 Cubic Yards of Gravel by 2014 and with a Minimum Addition of 8,000 Cubic Yards per Year for the Duration of the Project Actions		Reclamation shall minimize effects of their operations through improving spawning habitat with addition of 50,000 tons of gravel by **2014**. Reclamation shall submit a plan, including monitoring, and schedule to NMFS for gravel augmentation by **June 2010**. Reclamation shall begin gravel augmentations no later than **summer 2011**. Reclamation shall submit to NMFS a report on implementation and effectiveness of action by **2015**. Spawning gravel replenishment sites shall be monitored for geomorphic processes, material movement, and salmonid spawning use for a minimum of three years following each addition of sediment at any given site.	USBR	6/1/2010, summer 2011, 6/1/2014, 2015	No gravel was added in 2014 due to access issues. Coordination with Oakdale Irrigation District will continue in 2015 in order to repair a bridge to provide access to the gravel site. Substantial improvements in project funding are needed in future years to meet the gravel augmentation target, since only 16% of the target amount has been completed since 2009.
Action III.2.2. Conduct Floodplain Restoration and Inundation Flows in Winter or Spring to Inundate Steelhead Juvenile Rearing Habitat on One- to Three-Year Schedule.		Reclamation shall seek advice from SOG to develop an operational strategy to achieve floodplain inundation flows that inundate CV steelhead juvenile rearing habitat on a one- to three-year return schedule. Reclamation shall submit a proposed plan of operations to achieve this flow regime by **June 2011**. This plan shall include the minimum flow schedule identified in Action III.1.2, or shall provide justification for any proposed modification of the minimum flow schedule. NMFS will review and, if satisfactory, approve the operational strategy. Reclamation will implement strategy starting in **2012.**	USBR	8/31/11, 2012; Need to coordinate and finalize	A draft inundation flow plan was submitted to NMFS in late 2013. Pending feedback from NMFS.
III.2.3. Restore Freshwater Migratory Habitat for Juvenile Steelhead by Implementing Projects to Increase Floodplain Connectivity and to Reduce Predation Risk During Migration	This action is necessary to compensate for continued operational effects on rearing and freshwater migratory habitat due to flood control operations. The goal of this action is to improve habitat quality of freshwater migratory habitat for juvenile steelhead.	By **June 2010**, in cooperation with the SOG, Reclamation shall develop a list of projects to improve the habitat values of freshwater migratory habitat in the Stanislaus River, and associated monitoring, for implementation and submit the list to NMFS for review. Reclamation shall begin implementation of NMFS-approved projects by **June 2011**. Reclamation shall submit a report of project implementation and effectiveness by **June 2016**. These projects may include actions that reduce exposure to predation directly, or projects that may offset predation effects by improving rearing habitat values to allow juveniles to grow larger before outmigration. These projects may include both flow- and non-flow-related actions. Flow-related actions shall be coordinated with operational flows as defined in Action III.2.2 and Action III.1.2. These projects may also include, but shall not be limited to, evaluations to identify locations or sources of higher juvenile mortality in order to identify and implement projects with the highest likelihood to prevent CV steelhead mortality.	USBR	6/1/2010, 6/1/2011, 6/1/2016	Project list sent to NMFS on November 23, 2010. Report on progress not currently due.

Action Item	Objective	Action	Lead Agency	Due date	Status Thru Water Year 2014
III.2.4. Evaluate Fish Passage at New Melones, Tulloch, and Goodwin Dams	Evaluate access for steelhead to historic cold water habitat above New Melones, Tulloch, and Goodwin dams.	See Fish Passage Program, Action V	USBR		This action will be initiated once fish passage evaluations at Shasta Dam are complete (Action I.2.5).
Delta Division					
IV.1.1 Monitoring and Alerts to Trigger Changes in DCC Operations	To provide timely information for DCC gate operation that will reduce loss of emigrating winter-run, spring-run, CV steelhead, and green sturgeon.	Monitoring of Chinook salmon migration in the Sacramento River Basin and the Delta currently occurs at the RBDD, in spring-run tributaries to the Sacramento River, on the Sacramento River at Knights Landing and Sacramento, and sites within the Delta. Reclamation and DWR shall continue to fund these ongoing monitoring programs, as well as the monitoring of salvage and loss of Chinook salmon juveniles at the Delta fish collection facilities operated by the CVP and SWP. Funding shall continue for the duration of the proposed action (2030). Reclamation and DWR may use their own fishery biologists to conduct these monitoring programs, or they may provide funds to other agencies to do the required monitoring. Monitoring protocols shall follow established procedures utilized by the USFWS, CDFG, Reclamation, and DWR. Information collected from the monitoring programs will be used to make real-time decisions regarding DCC gate operation and export pumping. The DOSS group (Action IV.5) and WOMT will use information from monitoring to make decisions regarding DCC closures consistent with procedures below. The DCC gate operations in the fall are initiated through a series of alerts. These alerts are signals that gate operations may need to be altered in the near future to avoid diversion of juvenile Chinook salmon migrating down the Sacramento River.	USBR/ DWR	Ongoing	Letter from Reclamation & DWR submitted to NMFS in October requesting approval of including flow criteria as a first alert in October and November 2014 or increases in flow of more than 50%. Pending response from NMFS to DWR and Reclamation accepting the Mill & Deer Creeks flow criterion for monitoring.
IV.1.2 DCC Gate Operation	Modify DCC gate operation to reduce direct and indirect mortality of emigrating juvenile salmonids and green sturgeon in November, December, and January.	During the period between **November 1 and June 15**, DCC gate operations will be modified from the proposed action to reduce loss of emigrating salmonids and green sturgeon. The operating criteria provide for longer periods of gate closures during the emigration season to reduce direct and indirect mortality of yearling spring-run, winter-run, and CV steelhead. From **December 1 to January 31**, the gates will remain closed, except as operations are allowed using the implementation procedures/modified Salmon Decision Tree (below).	USBR/ DWR	Ongoing	DCC gates are currently being operated according to Appendix G of the 2014 Drought Operations Plan.
IV.1.3 Consider Engineering Solutions to Further Reduce Diversion of Emigrating Juvenile Salmonids to the Interior and Southern Delta, and Reduce Exposure to CVP and SWP Export Facilities	Prevent emigrating salmonids from entering the Georgiana Slough channel from the Sacramento River during their downstream migration through the Delta. Prevent emigrating salmonids from entering channels in the south Delta (e.g., Old River, Turner Cut) that increase entrainment risk to CV steelhead migrating from the San Joaquin River through the Delta.	Reclamation and/or DWR shall convene a working group to consider engineering solutions to further reduce diversion of emigrating juvenile salmonids to the interior Delta and consequent exposure to CVP and SWP export facilities. The working group, comprised of representatives from Reclamation, DWR, NMFS, USFWS, and CDFG, shall develop and evaluate proposed designs for their effectiveness in reducing adverse impacts on listed fish and their critical habitat. Reclamation or DWR shall subject any proposed engineering solutions to external independent peer review and report the initial findings to NMFS by **March 30, 2012**. Reclamation or DWR shall provide a final report on recommended approaches by **March 30, 2015**. If NMFS approves an approach in the report, Reclamation or DWR shall implement it. To avoid duplication of efforts or conflicting solutions, this action should be coordinated with USFWS' Delta smelt biological opinion and BDCP's consideration of conveyance alternatives.	DWR	Initial findings: 3/30/2012, Final Report: 3/30/2015	The Phase I (Initial Findings) report was completed December 2013. The Phase II report is in progress and will be submitted to NMFS by the March 30, 2015 deadline.
IV.2.1 San Joaquin River Inflow to Export Ratio	To reduce the vulnerability of emigrating CV steelhead within the lower San Joaquin River to entrainment into the channels of the South Delta and at the pumps due to the diversion of water by the export facilities in the South Delta, by increasing the inflow to export ratio. To enhance the likelihood of salmonids successfully exiting the Delta at Chipps Island by creating more suitable hydraulic conditions in the main stem of the San Joaquin River for emigrating fish, including greater net downstream flows.	Phase I: Interim Operations in 2010-2011. From **April 1 through May 31**: 1. Flows at Vernalis (7-day running average shall not be less than 7 percent of the target requirement) shall be based on the New Melones Index32. In addition to the Goodwin flow schedule for the Stanislaus River prescribed in Action III.1.3 and Appendix 2-E, Reclamation shall increase its releases at Goodwin Reservoir, if necessary, in order to meet the flows required at Vernalis, as provided in the following table. NMFS expects that tributary contributions of water from the Tuolumne and Merced rivers, through the SJRA, will continue through **2011** and that the installation of a fish barrier at the Head of Old River will continue to occur during this period as permitted. 2. Combined CVP and SWP exports shall be restricted through the following. In addition: 1) Reclamation/DWR shall seek supplemental agreement with the SJRGA as soon as possible to achieve minimum long term flows at Vernalis (see following table) through all existing authorities. Phase II: Beginning in **2012: From April 1 through May 31**: 1. Reclamation shall continue to implement the Goodwin flow schedule for the Stanislaus River prescribed in Action III.1.3 and Appendix 2-E. 2. Reclamation and DWR shall implement the Vernalis flow-to-combined export ratios in the following table, based on a 14-day running average exception procedure for multiple dry years: If the previous 2 years plus current year of San Joaquin Valley "60-20-20" Water Year Hydrologic Classification and Indicator as defined in D-1641 and provided in following table, is 6 or less, AND the New Melones Index is less than 1 MAF, exports shall be limited to a 1:1 ratio with San Joaquin River inflow, as measured at Vernalis.	USBR/ DWR	Annually	The year type for the San Joaquin Basin during implementation of the I:E ratio in April and May 2014 was designated as "Critical", which required implementation of a 1:1 ratio of Vernalis inflow to combined CVP/SWP exports (I:E ratio), though implementation of this RPA action was modified under the Drought Operations Plan (see Chapter 4). While the Drought Operations Plan allowed for modification of I:E implementation during the first half of April and the second half of May, because of other conditions, the I:E implementation was modified only during the first half of April in that the I:E ratio of 1:1 did *not* limit exports during that early April period.

Action Item	Objective	Action	Lead Agency	Due date	Status Thru Water Year 2014
IV.2.2 Six-Year Acoustic Tag Experiment	To confirm proportional causes of mortality due to flows, exports and other project and non-project adverse effects on steelhead smolts out-migrating from the San Joaquin basin and through the southern Delta.	Reclamation and DWR shall fund a 6-year research-oriented action concurrent with Action IV.2.1. The research shall be composed of studies utilizing acoustically-tagged salmonids, and will be implemented to assess the behavior and movement of the outmigrating fish in the lower San Joaquin River. The studies will include three releases of acoustic tagged fish, timed to coincide with different periods and operations: March 1 through March **31, April 1 through May 31, and June 1 through June 15**. NMFS anticipates that studies will utilize clipped hatchery steelhead and hatchery fall-run as test fish. During the period from **March 1 through March 30**, the exports will be operated in accordance with the requirements dictated by action IV.2.3. During the 60-day period between **April 1 and May 30**, exports will be dictated by the requirements of action IV.2.1. Reclamation shall operate to a minimum 1:1 inflow to export ratio during the period between **June 1 and June 15**, allowing exports to vary in relation to inflows from the San Joaquin to test varying flow to export ratios during this period. If daily water temperatures at Mossdale exceed 72°F for seven consecutive days during the period between **June 1 and June 15**, then the inflow to export ratio may be relaxed. NMFS anticipates that warm water conditions in the lower San Joaquin River will not be suitable for steelhead under these conditions.	USBR/ DWR	March through June releases	The study continued in 2014. USGS maintained more than 100 VR2W receivers, 10 VR2C receivers, and 4 HR receivers between upstream of Durham Ferry and Chipps Island. Dual arrays were operated at many sites, including Chipps Island, Jersey Point, Clifton Court Radial Gates, and Head of Old River. Additional receivers deployed at the Tracy Fish Collection Facility (TFCF) were useful for characterizing survival and efficiency through the facility for the three releases. Receivers remained deployed until early August. The study will continue in 2015 with releases between February 15 and May 15 with similar detection infrastructure in place. The 2011 USBR report is being completed, and the 2012 University of Washington results are close to complete pending corrections of errors discovered in the USGS computer code for processing data. Finally, 2013 data is currently being processed by USGS as 2014 tagging databases are being QA/QC'd by USFWS.
IV.2.3 Old and Middle River Flow Management	Reduce the vulnerability of emigrating juvenile winter-run, yearling spring-run, and CV steelhead within the lower Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers to entrainment into the channels of the South Delta and at the pumps due to the diversion of water by the export facilities in the South Delta. Enhance the likelihood of salmonids successfully exiting the Delta at Chipps Island by creating more suitable hydraulic conditions in the mainstem of the San Joaquin River for emigrating fish, including greater net downstream flows.	From **January 1 through June 15**, reduce exports, as necessary, to limit negative flows to -2,500 to -5,000 cfs in Old and Middle Rivers, depending on the presence of salmonids. The reverse flow will be managed within this range to reduce flows toward the pumps during periods of increased salmonid presence.	USBR/ DWR	Annually	From January 1 through June 9 (the action ended before mid-June because conditions for the temperature off-ramp were met), none of the loss density triggers were exceeded. Therefore, with the exception of modifications allowed during March 2014 (see Chapter 4 and Appendix D), Action IV.2.3 limited the flows in Old River and Middle River (OMR flows) to be no more negative than -5,000 cfs on a 14-day average. In WY 2014, Reclamation proposed and NMFS approved, with some conditions, a trial implementation of the "OMR Index Demonstration Project", during which OMR compliance would be measured using the OMR index (an estimate of OMR flow based on an equation that includes Vernalis flow and exports) rather than the tidally-averaged daily OMR based on USGS gauge data. However, OMR was controlling for approximately 28 days during the following timeframes: 2/11/14-2/17/14, 3/6/14-3-16/14, 3/27/14-4/7/14, and 4/10/14-4/12/14.
IV.3 Reduce Likelihood of Entrainment or Salvage at the Export Facilities	Reduce losses of winter-run, spring-run, CV steelhead, and Southern DPS of green sturgeon by reducing exports when large numbers of juvenile Chinook salmon are migrating into the upper Delta region, at risk of entrainment into the central and south Delta and then to the export pumps in the following weeks.	From **November 1 through April 30**, operations of the Tracy and Skinner Fish Collection Facilities shall be modified according to monitoring data from upstream of the Delta. In conjunction with the two alerts for closure of the DCC (Action IV.1.1), the Third Alert shall be used to signal that export operations may need to be altered in the near future due to large numbers of juvenile Chinook salmon migrating into the upper Delta region, increasing their risk of entrainment into the central and south Delta and then to the export pumps. Third Alert: The catch index is greater than 10 fish captured per day from **November 1 to February 28**, or greater than 15 fish captured per day from **March 1 to April 30**, from either the Knights Landing catch index or the Sacramento catch index.	USBR/ DWR	Annually beginning in November	During WY 2014, no triggers were tripped that required action under RPA IV.3.
Action Suite IV.4 Modifications of the Operations and Infrastructure of the CVP and SWP Fish Collection Facilities	Achieve 75 percent performance goal for whole facility salvage at both state and Federal facilities. Increase the efficiency of the Tracy and Skinner Fish Collection Facilities to improve the overall salvage survival of winter-run, spring-run, CV steelhead, and green sturgeon.	Reclamation and DWR shall each achieve a whole facility salvage efficiency of 75 percent at their respective fish collection facilities. Reclamation and DWR shall implement the following actions to reduce losses associated with the salvage process, including: (1) conduct studies to evaluate current operations and salvage criteria to reduce take associated with salvage, (2) develop new procedures and modifications to improve the current operations, and (3) implement changes to the physical infrastructure of the facilities where information indicates such changes need to be made. Reclamation shall continue to fund and implement the CVPIA Tracy Fish Facility Program. In addition, Reclamation and DWR shall fund quality control and quality assurance programs, genetic analysis, louver cleaning loss studies, release site studies and predation studies. Funding shall also include new studies to estimate green sturgeon screening efficiency at both facilities and survival through the trucking and handling process.		Annually	Construction was initiated in 2013 for a new fish science building at the Skinner Fish Facility and should be completed by the end of 2014. Construction delays occurred due to welding issues (joists and roof), and the fire suppression system. As an interim alternative in WY 2014, DWR continued to utilize the CHTR facility to hold experimental fish. Underwriters Laboratories completed an inspection of the Fish Science Building unit substation last week and the fire alarm system contractor will be on site to inspect the fire system and associated wiring to the new automatic transfer switch (ATS) and unit substation. A whole system test (ATS, standby generator, fire alarm system, and fire pump) was also completed in preparation of a final inspection by the State Fire Marshall (SFM) scheduled for mid-October. Once the facility passes inspection, the SFM will issue an occupancy permit, provided that compliance with SFM fire pump testing requirements are met.

Action Item	Objective	Action	Lead Agency	Due date	Status Thru Water Year 2014
IV.4.1 Tracy Fish Collection Facility (TFCF) Improvements to Reduce Pre-Screen Loss and Improve Screening Efficiency	Implement specific measures to reduce pre-screen loss and improve screening efficiency at Federal facilities.	1) Implement measures to reduce pre-screen loss and improve efficiency at Federal facilities: a. Reclamation shall undertake actions at the TFCF to reduce pre-screen loss and improve screening efficiency.	USBR		Tracy Fish Collection Facility (TFCF) currently performing whole facility evaluation (WFE) tests to determine present-day efficiencies for salmon and sturgeon. Subject to funding, authorizations, and available resources, published test data should be available to agencies by FY 2013 for salmon and FY 2014 for sturgeon. Preliminary data may be available sooner. WFE tests for steelhead have not yet commenced. The steelhead WFE test in FY 2015 has been curtailed for lack of funding. After measures are implemented to improve salvage efficiencies at the TFCF, additional WFE tests will be performed to gauge progress in salvage survival until a goal of 755 can be obtained.
		b. By December 31, 2011, reclamation shall complete studies to determine methods for removal of predators in the primary channel, leading to the primary louver screens with the goal of reducing predation loss to 10% or less. Findings shall be reported to NMFS within 90 days of study completion. By December 31, 2012, Reclamation shall implement measures to reduce pre-screen predation in the primary channel to less than 10% of exposed salmonids.	USBR	12/31/2012	Reclamation has completed studies to assess presence, impact, and movement of predators within the primary channel of the TFCF. Reclamation also continues to develop measures to reduce predator impacts on salvage survival. The use of electric barriers is currently being considered as a method by which to reduce predation. Lab tests were completed in FY 2011 and 2012. In FY 2013, safety features will be investigated. Field testing is estimated for FY 2014 after installation of the new traveling screens in the secondary channel. There are no plans to utilize CO_2 in the primary channel to remove predators because it would require significant structural improvements. Reclamation expects to implement more permanent measures to reduce predation in the primary channel by 2015. Reclamation will continue to experiment with alternative, less expensive predator removal concepts (e.g., pike netting during low pumping or temporary removal of trash rack screens during certain strategic times of year to allow predator fish to escape from the primary channel.
		c. By March 31, 2011, Reclamation shall complete studies for the redesign of the secondary channel to enhance the efficiency of screening, fish survival, and reduction of predation within the secondary channel structure and report study findings to NMFS. NMFS shall review study findings and if changes are deemed feasible, Reclamation shall initiate the implementation of the study findings by January 31, 2012.			**Action Completed.**
		d. No later than June 2, 2010, Reclamation shall submit to NMFS one or more potential solutions to the loss of Chinook salmon and green sturgeon associated with the cleaning and maintenance of the primary louver and secondary louver systems at the TFCF. In the event that a solution acceptable to NMFS is not in place by June 2, 2011, pumping at the Tracy Pumping Plant shall cease during louver cleaning and maintenance operations to avoid loss of fish during these actions.	USBR		Replacement of the secondary channel louver system has been completed. The improvements include a Hydrolox traveling screen. Design for the replacement of the primary channel screens should be completed by FY 2016, utilizing data gathered from the secondary channel installation as a guide. Contract award and installation will take place in FY 2017 pending funding.
		2) By December 31, 2011, Reclamation shall implement operational procedures to optimize the simultaneous salvage of juvenile salmonids and delta smelt at the facility.	USBR	FY 2013: Analysis results due.	Reclamation biological staff are conducting whole-facility operational tests that consider best salvage operations for both species of fish while operating within SWRCB D-1485 fish salvage criteria. Optimization is dependent on the results of delta smelt study data.
		3) Upon issuance of this BiOp, Reclamation shall begin removing predators in the secondary channel at least once per week. By June 2, 2010, Reclamation shall install equipment to monitor for the presence of predators in the secondary channel during operations.	USBR	2009 (predator removal), 6/2/2010 (install equipment)	Reclamation TFCF staff have periodically removed predators from the secondary channel of the TFCF since the early 1900s. Presently, Tracy office staff lack the resources to conduct predator removals once per week; however, tests are currently being conducted utilizing CO_2 as a more efficient and safer means of removing predators from the secondary channel. There is also presently no equipment installed to monitor presence of predators within the secondary channel. TFCF purchased a 3-D fish finder and conducted preliminary tests in FY 2012. The equipment will be installed in FY 2015.
		4) Reclamation shall operate the facility to meet design criteria for louver bypasses and channel flows at least 75% efficiency.	USBR		Reclamation currently operates the TFCF within design criteria as much as possible; however, south-Delta hydrology coupled with export pumping rates, tidal action, and high debris entrainment makes this difficult at best at times.
		5) Reclamation shall maintain a head differential at the trash rack of less than 1.5 ft. between the ambient Old River water surface elevation and the primary intake channel at all times.	USBR		**Action Completed.** Reclamation TFCF installed a new trash rack cleaner in FY 2010 that will allow Reclamation to meet the 1.5-ft differential objective.
		6) By January 2, 2010, Reclamation shall install and maintain flow meters in the primary and secondary channels to continuously monitor and record the flow rates in the channel.	USBR		**Action Completed.** Flow meters installed and operational. Regular maintenance being performed. Flow rates are continuously monitored and recorded.

Action Item	Objective	Action	Lead Agency	Due date	Status Thru Water Year 2014
		7) Reclamation shall change its operations of the TFCF to meet salvage criteria, while emphasizing the following actions: (a) Primary Bypass Ratio; (b) Secondary bypass ratio; (c) Primary Average Channel Velocity; and (d) Secondary Average Channel Velocity.	USBR		Reclamation TFCF currently conducting studies to assess impacts of bypass ratios vs. average channel velocity on effectiveness of salvage operations. Reclamation currently abiding by SWRCB D-1485 salvage operations criteria and adjusting to latest data.
		8) Records of all operating actions shall be kept and made available to NMFS engineers upon request. NMFS shall be notified of any major or long-term deviations from normal operating design criteria within 24 h of occurrence.	USBR	12/31/2013	Reclamation TFCF records are available upon request. Reclamation will notify NMFS of any major or long-term deviations from normal operating design criteria. Study was run in March and May. Results are being reviewed and should be available by the end of the year. Study will commence similarly next calendar year.
IV.4.2 Skinner Fish Collection Facility Improvements to Reduce Pre-Screen Loss and Improve Screening Efficiency	Implement specific measures to reduce pre-screen loss and improve screening efficiency at state facilities.	DWR shall undertake the following actions at the Skinner Fish Collection Facility: 1) By **December 31, 2012**, operate the whole Skinner Fish Protection Facility to achieve a minimum 75 percent salvage efficiency for CV salmon, steelhead, and Southern DPS of green sturgeon after fish enter the primary channels in front of the louvers. 2) Immediately commence studies to develop predator control methods for Clifton Court Forebay that will reduce salmon and steelhead pre-screen loss in Clifton Court Forebay to no more than 40 percent. a) On or before **March 31, 2011**, improved predator control methods. Full compliance shall be achieved by **March 31, 2014**. Failure to meet this timeline shall result in the cessation of incidental take exemption at SWP facilities unless NMFS agrees to an extended timeline. b) DWR may petition the Fish and Game Commission to increase bag limits on striped bass caught in Clifton Court Forebay. 3) Remove predators in the secondary channel at least once per week.	DWR	Immediately, 3/31/2011, 12/31/2012, 3/31/2014. Concurrence by NMFS to implement action by 12/2014; full compliance by 12/2017.	Eleven pilot efficiency tests were conducted in March 2011, utilizing late-fall run. Full-facility efficiency was >75%. Fourteen additional late-fall tests were conducted in WY 2014 and the data is currently being analyzed. An additional 34 tests with late-fall run and/or fall/spring run were planned in WY2014, but cancelled due to very low water exports due to the drought. Augmented monitoring and testing with additional late-fall run, fall/spring-run, and steelhead is planned this coming season if the new Fish Science Building is approved for occupancy by the State Fire Marshall. Field testing using green sturgeon or a surrogate is planned after construction of new facilities and pending resolution of concerns regarding the source of experimental fish (release or hatchery origin or white sturgeon as surrogate for green sturgeon). Until then, planning is underway to being lab-based experiments in 2015 in collaboration with UC Davis to examine sturgeon behavior near louvers and predation risk. New fish count and transfer buckets for the Skinner facility are currently under construction and scheduled for completion by the end of December 2014. The new buckets are, in part, intended to increase efficiency by eliminating an intermittent gap at the bottom of the fish holding tanks caused by warped rims on the existing buckets. The new buckets are constructed of stainless steel, include 3/32" screening, and are constructed with "fish friendly" features. CCF predator control – There are two planned approaches to predator control: 1)increase fishing access by developing a fishing facility (pier) at Clifton Court Forebay; and 2) change current fishing restrictions on striped bass within the Forebay. Additional predator control measures such as electrofishing are also being investigated. Work is being coordinated with proposed Conservation Measures proposed under the Bay Delta Conservation Plan (BDCP). Predator removal - Predator and debris flushes are conducted routinely at the Skinner Fish Facility at least once/week and more often when debris loads are heavy.
IV.4.3 Tracy Fish Collection Facility and the Skinner Fish Collection Facility Actions to Improve Salvage Monitoring, Reporting and Release Survival Rates	To improve overall survival of listed species at facilities through accurate, rapid salvage reporting and state-of-the-art salvage release procedures. This reporting is also necessary to provide information needed to trigger OMR actions.	Reclamation and DWR shall undertake the following actions at the TFCF and the Skinner Fish Collection Facility, respectively. Actions shall commence by **October 1, 2009**, unless stated otherwise.	USBR/ DWR	Immediately, 10/1/2009, 10/1/2010, 6/15/2011, 6/15/2014	
		1) Sampling rates at the facilities for fish salvage counts shall be no less than 30 minutes every 2 hours (25 percent of operational time) year round to increase the accuracy of salvage estimates used in the determination of trigger levels. Exceptions to the 30-minute count may occur with NMFS' concurrence under unusual situations, such as high fish densities or excessive debris loading.			At TFCF, presently sample 30 minutes every 2 hours as a rule except during times of high debris loading impacts where a waiver is requested through NMFS and in which case sampling is temporarily set at 10 minutes every 2 hours. 30-min. sampling rate at Skinner when possible. Informal agreement with NMFS to modify count duration per DFG established procedure when too many fish are being salvaged to do 30-min. counts: No changes in 2012 in sampling protocols.
		2) By October 1, 2010, websites shall be created or improved to make salvage count data publicly available within 2 days of observations of the counts. Information available on the website shall include at a minimum: 1) duration of count in minutes, b) species of fish salvaged; c) number of fish salvaged including raw counts and expanded counts; d) volume of water in acre-feet and average daily flow in cfs; e) daily average channel velocity and bypass ratio in each channel, primary and secondary; f) average daily water temperature and electrical conductivity data for each facility, and g) periods of non-operation due to cleaning, power outages, or repairs.			Reclamation's Central Valley Operations Office is the lead on this action. Fish salvage data presently available through CVO and DFW websites: www.usbr.gov/mp/cvo/fishrpt.html and www.dfg.ca.gov/delta/data/salvage. DFW improved the salvage website in 2010.

Action Item	Objective	Action	Lead Agency	Due date	Status Thru Water Year 2014
		3) Release Site Studies shall be conducted to develop methods to reduce predation at the "end of the pipe" following release of salvaged fish. Studies shall examine but are not limited to: a) potential use of barges to release the fish in different locations within the western Delta with slow dispersion of fish from barge holding tanks to Delta waters; b) multiple release points (up to six) in western Delta with randomized release schedule; and c) conducting a benefit to cost analysis to maximize this ratio while reducing predation at release site to 50% of the current rate.		12/31/16: Construction on additional sites to be completed.	Final Release Site Predation Study Report released by DWR May 2010 and Evaluation of Mortality and Injury in a Fish Release Pipe released by DWR August 2010. • Curtis Landing: A complete refurbishment of this site began with demolition of the old site in April 2014. Construction of the improved facility is scheduled for completion by the end December 2014. Predation monitoring utilizing DIDSON technology is scheduled to begin at this site when it becomes operational. • Two new fish release sites on Sherman Island are currently in the design and permitting phase. These sites are scheduled for completion by the end of December 2016. Significant levee rehabilitation, widening, and raising is necessary at these sites and is scheduled to begin in May 2015. DWR has entered into a funding agreement with the reclamation district to complete the levee work and coordinate with release site construction. • DWR continues negotiations with involved parties to secure permits for the use of Reclamation fish release facilities. • Debris removal at Horseshoe Bend and Curtis Landing sites conducted bi-annually on an as needed basis. No debris removal was required in WY 2014. • Reclamation has taken the lead on analyzing opportunities for transporting and releasing fish by barge.
		4) By June 15, 2011, predation reduction methods shall be implemented according to analysis in 3. By June 15, 2014, achieve a predation rate that has been reduced 50 percent from current rate.		6/15/11	Reclamation is currently taking into consideration findings from the Release Site Predation Study Report and the Evaluation of Mortality Report and will identify actions to reduce predation impacts at its release sites. Routine inspections of the SWP release sites for underwater debris have been implemented. In addition, roosting areas for avian predators have been removed. New trucks were purchased and the Curtis Landing Release Site is currently undergoing a complete refurbishment. The SWP Curtis Landing Release Site improvements are progressing with an expected completion date of December 2014. The construction of two new fish release sites and associated levee improvements is progressing with an expected completion date of December 2016.
		5) Add salt to water within the tanker trucks hauling fish to reduce stress of transport. Assess use of other means to reduce stress, protect mucous slime coat on fish, and prevent infections from abrasions (i.e., commercially available products for this purpose).			Reclamation personnel already add salt to tanker trucks hauling fish and have been doing so for the past several years. Reclamation is also conducting studies and looking at ways to reduce stress on fish during the fish-hauling process. Salinity in the tanker trucks is normally maintained at 3 ppt. Other stress-relieving chemical are no longer being considered because they are not FDA approved.
		6) All personnel conducting fish counts must be trained in juvenile fish identification and have working knowledge of fish physiology and biology.			All personnel have been trained by DFW Fish Identification and QA/AC Biologist and receive regular training refreshers.
		7) Tanker truck runs to release salmonids should be scheduled at least every 12 hours, or more frequently if required by the "Bates Table" calculations (made at each count and recorded on the monthly report).			Reclamation schedules its tanker truck runs at least every 12 hours and sometimes more if needed (i.e., delta smelt presence, large amounts of fish, etc.).
		8) Reclamation and DWR shall use the Bates Table to maintain suitable environmental conditions for fish in hauling trucks. Trucks should never be overcrowded so that the carrying capacity of the tanker truck is exceeded.			The Bates Table is used as part of routine operations to ensure suitable conditions for fish in the haul trucks. The table as modified in 2010 to account for the increase volume of the 3500-gallon fish haul trucks. 2/4/13 Annual Report: Bates Table is used as part of routine operations to ensure suitable conditions for fish in haul trucks.
IV.5 Formation of Delta Operations for Salmon and Sturgeon (DOSS) Technical Working Group	Create a technical advisory team .that will provide recommendations to WOMT and NMFS on measures to reduce adverse effects of Delta operations of the CVP and SWP to salmonids and green sturgeon and will coordinate the work of the other technical teams.	The DOSS group will comprise biologists, hydrologists, and other staff with relevant expertise from Reclamation, DWR, CDFG, USFWS, and NMFS. Invitations to EPA, USGS, and Regional Water Quality Board biologists will be extended to provide expertise on issues pertinent to Delta water quality, hydrology and environmental parameters. By **October 1, 2009,** Reclamation shall, jointly with NMFS, convene the DOSS working group.	USBR/ DWR	10/1/2009, summary every 5 years	**Action completed.**
IV.6 South Delta Improvement Program—Phase I (Permanent Operable Gates)		DWR shall not implement the South Delta Improvement Program, which is a proposal to replace temporary barriers with permanent operable gates.	DWR	Immediately	Handled by south-Delta staff under Mark Holderman.

Action Item	Objective	Action	Lead Agency	Due date	Status Thru Water Year 2014
Near-Term Fish Passage					
NF 1. Formation of Interagency Fish Passage Steering Committee	To charter, and support through funding agreements, an interagency steering committee to provide oversight and technical, management, and policy direction for the Fish Passage Program.	By **December 2009,** Reclamation shall establish, chair and staff the Interagency Fish Passage Steering Committee. The Committee shall be established in consultation with and the approval of NMFS and shall include senior biologists and engineers with experience and expertise in fish passage design and operation, from Reclamation, NMFS, DWR, CDFG, and USFWS. The Steering Committee also shall include academic support by including at least one academic member from a California University with and established fishery program. The committee shall be limited to agency membership unless otherwise approved by Reclamation and NMFS. Steering committee membership shall include on lead member and one alternate.	USBR	12/1/2009	**Action completed.**
NF 2. Evaluation of Salmonid Spawning and Rearing Habitat Above Dams	To quantify and characterize the location, amount, suitability, and functionality of existing and/or potential spawning and rearing habitat for listed species above dams operated by Reclamation.	Beginning in **January 2010 and continuing through January 2012**, Reclamation, shall conduct habitat evaluations to quantify and characterize the location, amount, suitability, and functionality of existing and/or potential spawning and rearing habitat for listed species above the project reservoirs. Reclamation shall obtain the Steering Committee's assistance in designing and implementing the habitat evaluations. Evaluations shall be conducted using established field survey protocols such as the USFS Region 5 Stream Condition Inventory, Field Intensive and Field Extensive protocols; and habitat models including the Salmon Habitat Integrated Resource Analysis (Shiraz) in combination with the Distributed Hydrology Soil Vegetated Model (DHSVM) or RIPPLE. Shiraz is a life-cycle model that incorporates stream flow and temperature inputs from DHSVM to develop future projections of salmon population sizes. Ripple uses digital terrain information with aquatic habitat and biological data to identify habitat limitations that affect salmon production.	USBR	1/2010-1/2012	**Action completed.**
NF 3. Development of Fish Passage Pilot Plan		From **January 2010 through January, 2011**, Reclamation, with assistance from the Steering Committee, shall complete a 3-year plan for the Fish Passage Pilot program.	USBR	1/2010-1/2011	The Shasta Dam Fish Passage Evaluation (SDFPE) commenced in April 2013 to evaluate the feasibility of reintroducing Chinook salmon and steelhead to tributaries above Shasta Lake. A Fish Passage Pilot Implementation Plan is being developed with representatives from USBR, NMFS, FWS, DWR, DFW, California State Water Board, and the University of California Davis. It will identify the Chinook salmon run to be used in the pilot, the potential source of the fish, monitoring activities, potential facilities, and potential hurdles to implementing the pilot study. The specifics of implementing RPA Action NF4, including adult and juvenile collection, handling, transportation, and release will be covered in the Pilot Plan. A completed Plan permitted for implementation is targeted for 2015.
NF 4. Implementation of Pilot Reintroduction Program	To implement short-term fish passage actions that will inform the planning for long-term passage actions.	From **January 2012 through 2015**, Reclamation shall begin to implement the Pilot Reintroduction Program (see specific actions below). The Pilot Program will, in a phased approach, provide for pilot reintroduction of winter-run and spring-run to habitat above Shasta Dam in the Sacramento River, and CV steelhead above Folsom Dam in the American River. This interim program will be scalable depending on source population abundance, and will not impede the future installation of permanent facilities, which require less oversight and could be more beneficial to fish. This program is not intended to achieve passage of all anadromous fish that arrive at collection points, but rather to phase in passage as experience with the passage facilities and their benefits is gained.	USBR	1/2012-1/2015	See NF 3 above.
NF 4.1. Adult Fish Collection and Handling Facilities		Beginning in **2012**, Reclamation, with assistance from the Steering Committee, shall design, construct, install, operate and maintain new or rebuilt adult fish collection, handling and transport facilities at the sites listed below. The objective is to provide interim facilities to pass fish above project facilities and reservoirs. Reclamation and partner agencies shall incorporate NMFS' Fish Screening Criteria for Anadromous Salmonids (NMFS 1997a) and the best available technology. During the design phase, Reclamation and partner agencies shall coordinate with NMFS to determine if the design should accommodate possible later connection to improved facilities, if necessary in years beyond 2015. Reclamation and partner agencies shall complete all interim steps in a timely fashion to allow them to meet the following deadlines for completing construction and beginning operation of the facilities listed below. These steps may include completing plans and specifications. Reclamation and partner agencies shall give NMFS periodic updates on their progress. The order in which these facilities are completed may be modified with NMFS' concurrence, based on interim analyses and biological priorities. • Sacramento River Fish Facility – Collection facility shall be operational no later than **March 2012**. • American River Fish Facility – Collection facility shall be operational no later than **March 2012.**	USBR	3/1/2012	The Interagency Fish Passage Steering Committee decided to focus on Shasta initially. The adult collection and handling facilities are in place. The existing Keswick Dam fish trap and Livingston Stone National Fish Hatchery facilities will be used for adult collection and handling in the pilot study.

Action Item	Objective	Action	Lead Agency	Due date	Status Thru Water Year 2014
NF 4.2. Adult Fish Release Sites above Dams and Juvenile Fish Sites Below Dams		Reclamation shall provide for the safe, effective, and timely release of adult fish above dams and juvenile fish below dams. The Fish Passage Plan must identify and release sites. Fish transport and release locations and methods shall follow existing State and Federal protocols. With assistance from the Steering Committee, and in coordination with applicable landowners and stakeholders, Reclamation shall complete construction of all selected sites by **March 2012**.	USBR	3/1/2012	See NF 3 above.
NF 4.3. Capture, Trapping, and Relocation of Adults		By **March 2012**, Reclamation shall implement upstream fish passage for adults via "trap and transport" facilities while it conducts studies to develop and assess long-term upstream and downstream volitional fish passage alternatives. At least one fish facility must be in place at terminal upstream passage points for each river that is subject to this measure. Facilities to capture adults currently exist at or below Keswick and Nimbus Dams, though these may need to be upgraded. The Pilot Program is a first step in providing anadromous fish passage to historical habitat above Project dams but will not be sufficient by itself. The number of fish that shall be relocated is expected to vary depending on the source population, source population size, and the results of fish habitat evaluations and modeling of carrying and production capacity.	USBR	3/1/2012	See NF 3 above.
NF 4.4. Interim Downstream Fish Passage through Reservoirs and Dams		Beginning in **2012**, following the emergence of the first year class of reintroduced fish, and until permanent downstream passage facilities are constructed or operations are established at Project dams, Reclamation shall carry out interim operational measures to pass downstream migrants as safely and efficiently as possible through or around Project reservoirs and dams under current dam configurations and physical and operational constraints, consistent with authorized Project purposes. Near-term operating alternatives shall be identified, evaluated, and implemented if determined to be technically and economically feasible and biologically justified by Reclamation and partner agencies, within the framework of the Annual Operating Plan updates and revisions, and in coordination with the Fish Passage Plan Steering Committee. Interim devices shall be constructed to collect emigrating juvenile salmonids and emigrating post-spawn adult steelhead from tributaries, main stems above project reservoirs, or heads of reservoirs	USBR	4/30/2011	See NF 3 above.
NF 4.5. Juvenile Fish Collection Prototype	To determine whether the concept of a head-of-reservoir juvenile collection facility is feasible, and if so, to use head-of-reservoir facilities in Project reservoirs to increase downstream fish survival. Safe and timely downstream passage of juvenile Chinook salmon and juvenile and adult post-spawn steelhead is a critical component to the success of the Fish Passage Program.	Beginning in **January, 2010**, with input from the CVP/SWP operations Fish Passage Steering Committee, Reclamation shall plan, design, build, and evaluate a prototype head-of-reservoir juvenile collection facility above Shasta Dam. Construction shall be complete by **September 2013**. Because the head-of-reservoir fish collection concept is virtually untested, it would be imprudent to require such facilities without prior field studies, design, and prototype testing to validate the concept. For this measure, NMFS defines "prototype" to refer to temporary facilities intended for concept evaluation, not long-term operations. Further, "prototype" does not necessarily refer to a single concept; multiple concepts may be tested simultaneously. Possible options include, among others: • Floating collectors in the reservoir near the mouths of tributaries • Use of curtained or hardened structures near mouths of tributaries, that block surface passage into reservoirs • Fish collection facilities on tributaries above the reservoir pools • A combination of the above to maximize collection in high flow and low flow conditions.	USBR	1/2010, 9/2013, 6/2016, 12/31/2016	See NF 3 above.
NF 4.6. Pilot Program Effectiveness Monitoring and Evaluation		From **2012 to 2015**, Reclamation shall study, and provide annual reports on, the elements of the pilot program, including adult reintroduction locations, techniques, survival, distribution, spawning, and production; and juvenile rearing, migration, recollection, and survival. The objective is to gather sufficient biological and technical information to assess the relative effectiveness of the program elements and determine the feasibility of long-term passage alternatives. A final summary report of the 5-year pilot effort shall be completed by **December 31, 2015**.	USBR	2012-2015, 12/31/2015	Coordination is ongoing.
NF 4.7. Stanislaus River Fish Passage Assessment	To develop information needed in order to evaluate options for achieving fish passage on the Stanislaus River above Goodwin, Tulloch, and New Melones Dams.	By **March 31, 2011**, Reclamation shall develop a plan to obtain information needed to evaluate options for fish passage on the Stanislaus River above Goodwin, Tulloch and New Melones Dams and shall submit this plan to NMFS for review. This plan shall identify reconnaissance level assessments that are needed to support a technical evaluation of the potential benefits to CV steelhead that could be achieved with passage above the dams, a general assessment of logistical and engineering information needed, and a schedule for completing those assessments by **December 31, 2016.**	USBR	3/31/2011, 12/31/2016	See NF 3 above.

Action Item	Objective	Action	Lead Agency	Due date	Status Thru Water Year 2014
NF 5. Comprehensive Fish Passage Report	To evaluate the effectiveness of fish passage alternatives and make recommendations for the development and implementation of long-term passage alternatives and a long-term fish passage program.	By **December 31, 2016**, Reclamation shall prepare a Comprehensive Fish Passage Report. The Report shall include preliminary determinations by Reclamation and partner agencies regarding the feasibility of fish passage and other related structural and operational alternatives. The report should include specific recommendations for improvements to highest priority sub-basins and/or features and to include recommendations for major operational changes. It will also include identification and evaluation of high priority actions and may suggest modifying the scope or timelines of these high priority actions, based on the predicted outcome of long-term efforts.	USBR	12/31/2016	Coordination is ongoing
Long-Term Fish Passage					
LF 1. Long-term Funding and Support to the Interagency Fish Passage Steering Committee		If the Comprehensive Fish Passage Report indicates that long-term fish passage is feasible and desirable, Reclamation shall continue to convene, fund, and staff the Fish Passage Steering Committee.	USBR	after 2016	See NF 5.
LF 2. Action Suite: Long-Term Fish Passage Plan and Program	Provide structural and operational modifications to allow safe fish passage and access to habitat above and below Project dams in the Central Valley.	Based on the results of the Comprehensive Fish Passage Report, Reclamation, with assistance from the Steering Committee, shall develop a Long-term Fish Passage Plan and implement a Long-term Fish Passage Program. Reclamation and partner agencies shall submit a plan to NMFS on or before **December 31, 2016**, which shall describe planned long-term upstream and downstream fish passage facilities and operations, based on the best available information at that time. The plan shall include a schedule for implementing a long-term program for safe, timely, and effective anadromous fish passage by **January 31, 2020**.	USBR	12/31/2016, 1/31/2020	See NF 5.
LF 2.1. Long-term Adult and Juvenile Fish Passage Facilities		Based on the results of the Comprehensive Fish Passage Report and the Fish Passage Plan, and with the assistance of the Steering Committee, Reclamation shall construct long-term fish passage facilities necessary to successfully allow upstream and downstream migration of fish around or through project dams and reservoirs on the Sacramento and American Rivers by **2020**, and Stanislaus River depending on results of study provided for in Action NF 4.7.	USBR	2020	See NF 5.
LF 2.2. Supplementation and Management Plan		Based on the results of the Comprehensive Fish Passage Report and the Fish Passage Plan, and with the assistance of the Steering Committee, in consultation with the NMFS Southwest Fishery Science Center, Reclamation shall develop and implement a long-term population supplementation plan for each species and fish passage location identified in V. Fish Passage Program, with adult recruitment and collection criteria developed with consideration for source population location, genetic and life history diversity, abundance and production. The purpose is to ensure that long-term abundance and viability criteria are met for all reintroduced populations, with contingencies for supplementing populations with wild and/or conservation hatchery fish if necessary. The plan shall be developed by **2020**. The plan shall identify wild and/or hatchery sources for adult reintroductions and long-term supplementation, and the specific NMFS-approved hatchery management practices that qualify a hatchery for conservation purposes. Species-specific conservation hatchery programs may be developed to supplement reintroductions and maintain long-term performance standards for abundance and viability.		2020	See NF 5.
LF 2.3. Long-term Fish Passage Monitoring and Evaluation		Reclamation, through the Steering Committee shall develop a Long-term Fish Passage Monitoring and Evaluation Plan by **2020**, to monitor all elements of the Long-term Fish Passage Program including adult reintroduction locations, techniques, survival, distribution, spawning, and production; and juvenile rearing, migration, recollection, and survival. The objective is to gather sufficient biological and technical information to assess the relative effectiveness of the program elements and determine the feasibility of long-term passage alternatives. Annual reports shall be submitted to NMFS by **September 30** of each year.	USBR	Annual reports due 9/30	See NF 5.
Terms & Conditions					
13.3 Reasonable and Prudent Measures	NMFS believes the following reasonable and prudent measures are necessary and appropriate to minimize take of winter-run, spring-run, CV steelhead, and the Southern DPS of green sturgeon.	• Reclamation and DWR shall monitor the extent of incidental take of winter-run, spring-run, green sturgeon, and CV steelhead, associated with the operation of the CVP's Jones and SWP's Harvey Banks pumping facilities.	Joint	Ongoing through 2030	Monitoring coordination is ongoing.

Action Item	Objective	Action	Lead Agency	Due date	Status Thru Water Year 2014
		• Reclamation and DWR shall monitoring all incidental take associated with CVP and SWP operations.	USBR/ DWR	Ongoing through 2030	Monitoring coordination is ongoing.
		• Reclamation and DWR shall annually report to NMFS the incidental take resulting from the implementation of the Proposed Action.	USBR/ DWR	Ongoing through 2030	Coordination is ongoing.
13.4 T&C 1	Reclamation and DWR must comply with the following non-discretionary terms and conditions to implement the reasonable and prudent measures described.	a. Reclamation and DWR shall calculate winter-run, spring-run, CV steelhead, and Southern DPS of green sturgeon loss at the Jones and Banks pumping plants on a real-time basis from October 1 through June 30 each year.		Ongoing through 2030	Ongoing & being done.
		b. Reclamation and DWR shall monitor the loss of juvenile winter-run at the CVP and SWP Delta pumping facilities and will use that information to determine whether the anticipated level of loss is likely to exceed the authorized level of 2 percent, cumulatively, of the estimated number of juvenile winter-run entering the Delta annually.		Ongoing through 2030	Ongoing & being done.
		c. Reclamation and DWR shall monitor the loss of identified spring-run surrogate release groups at the CVP and SWP Delta pumping facilities and use that information to determine whether the cumulative estimated level of loss is expected to exceed 1%.		Ongoing through 2030	Ongoing & being done.
		d. Reclamation and DWR shall monitor the salvage of CV steelhead at the CVP and SWP Delta pumping facilities and use that information to determine whether the cumulative estimated level of salvage is expected to exceed 3,000 unclipped steelhead (juveniles and adults combined) at the CVP and SWP Delta pumping facilities.		Ongoing through 2030	Ongoing & being done.
		e. Reclamation and DWR shall monitor the loss of juvenile green sturgeon at the CVP and SWP Delta pumping facilities and use that information to determine whether the cumulative estimated level of loss is expected to exceed 110 juveniles annually (previous 10-year average).		Ongoing through 2030	Ongoing & being done.
		f. If the estimated rate of loss approaches the incidental take level anticipated for any of the anadromous fish species at the SWP Harvey Banks pumping facility combined with the estimated take at the CVP Jones pumping facility is exceeded, Reclamation and DWR shall immediately convene the WOMT.		Ongoing through 2030	Ongoing & being done.
		g. DWR shall collect additional data at the Clifton Court Forebay, the John Skinner Fish Collection Facility, and the Harvey Banks pumping plant to monitor the incidental take of winter-run, spring-run, steelhead, and green sturgeon and to develop and implement improvements to pumping facility operations to further reduce or minimize losses of listed salmonids.		Ongoing through 2030	Ongoing & being done.
		h. DNA tissue samples and CWT samples from juvenile winter-run, spring-run, and steelhead at the Tracy and Skinner fish collection facilities shall be collected by DWR or CDFG for genetic analysis or tag removal/reading pursuant to the sampling protocols established by the IEP Salmon Genetics Project Work Team. Tissues shall be stored at the CDFG tissue bank at Rancho Cordova for subsequent analysis by Oregon State University or similar lab approved by NMFS. Whole fish or heads for CWT processing and identification shall be stored at the USFWS Bay/Delta Office in Stockton. All samples shall be clearly marked according to office protocol and a log maintained at each storage facility.		Ongoing through 2030	Ongoing & being done. In June 2012, Reclamation and DWR requested, and NMFS concurred, to change labs from Reclamation and DWR the Genomic Variation Laboratory at UC, Davis, to process the tissue samples.
T&C 2	Reclamation shall seek to develop an alternative technique to quantify incidental take of listed anadromous salmonid species at the Federal and State export facilities.	a. In coordination with NMFS, Reclamation shall select and fund an independent contractor to determine the best technique to quantify incidental take of winter-run, spring-run, CV steelhead, and the Southern DPS of green sturgeon at the Federal and State export facilities. Reclamation shall submit a final report to NMFS by December 31, 2010, summarizing recommendations for quantifying incidental take, with the selection of a proposed technique. The technique for quantifying take shall be implemented immediately upon NMFS' concurrence. In the event that this measure is not implemented immediately and reflected in the annual report per T&C 3a below, take authorization for CV steelhead shall cease on December 31, 2011. Incidental take, especially for CV steelhead, but for the other listed anadromous fish species as well, may be adjusted based on the application of the new technique to quantify incidental take at the Federal and State export facilities.	USBR/ DWR	11/30/13	This item was presented as part of the 2013 Annual Science Review. Significant comments were received from the panel members and Reclamation and DWR staff are defining options for moving forward to address panel comments.

Action Item	Objective	Action	Lead Agency	Due date	Status Thru Water Year 2014
T&C 3	Reclamation shall minimize the adverse effects of flow fluctuations associated with CVP- controlled stream operations on listed anadromous fish species spawning, egg incubation, and fry and juvenile rearing.	a. Reclamation shall schedule maximum ramping down rates of non-Glory Hole (i.e., non- flood control) releases from Whiskeytown Reservoir according to the table, below (estimated at RM 3.03). Ramping rates for releases greater than 300 cfs shall be made after consultation with the Clear Creek Technical Team, considering: time of year, time of day, timing the change to occur with natural changes in-flow and/or turbidity, size of fish present in the creek, species and protected status of vulnerable fish, the amount of water required, and relative costs or benefits of proposed flow. Reclamation shall time flow decreases so that the most juvenile Chinook salmon and steelhead experience the stage decrease during darkness. Maximum ramping rate of flow releases from Whiskeytown Dam into Clear Creek shall be accomplished based on the following targets within the precision of the outlet works or the City of Redding powerplant equipment.	USBR	Ongoing through 2030	Ongoing & being done.
		b. During periods outside of flood control operations and to the extent controllable during flood control operations, Reclamation shall ramp down releases in the American River below Nimbus Dam as prescribed.	USBR	Ongoing through 2030	Ongoing & being done.
		c. During periods outside of flood control operations and to the extent controllable during flood control operations, Reclamation shall ramp releases in the Stanislaus River below Goodwin Dam as prescribed.	USBR	Ongoing through 2030	Ongoing & being done.
T&C 4	Reclamation and DWR shall monitor all incidental take associated with CVP and SWP operations.	a. Reclamation shall implement all aspects of RPA section 11.2.1.3	USBR/ DWR	Ongoing through 2030	Ongoing & being done.
T&C 5	Reclamation and DWR shall annually report to NMFS the incidental take resulting from the implementation of the Proposed Action.	a. Reclamation and DWR shall provide an annual written report to NMFS no later than October 1 of each year. This report shall provide the data gathered and summarize the results of winter-run, spring-run, CV steelhead, and green sturgeon monitoring and incidental take associated with the CVP and SWP operations. All mortalities must be minimized and reported, including those from special studies conducted during salvage operations.	USBR/ DWR	10/1/14	Ongoing & being done.
		b. Reclamation and DWR shall provide reports and updates to NMFS by the specified dates as provided in various RPA actions.	USBR/ DWR	Ongoing through 2030	Ongoing & being done.
		c. Unless otherwise specified during the implementation of these terms and conditions, all reports and updates shall be sent to: Supervisor, Sacramento Area Office, NMFS, 650 Capitol Mall, 8-300, Sacramento, CA 95814.	USBR/ DWR	Ongoing through 2030	Ongoing & being done.